Settings that Demonstrated Compliance by July 1, 2021

On March 17, 2014, new federal Medicaid rules for Home and Community Based Services (HCBS) went into effect. The rules impact many parts of HCBS. One of the most important topics is the places where HCBS can be provided.

Because HCBS programs are offered as alternatives to nursing and intermediate care facility services, the new rules make sure that HCBS are provided in settings that are not institutional in nature. To follow this rule, states must make sure that HCBS settings are part of a larger community, people are able to have choices about their service settings, and that people are assured their rights to privacy, dignity and respect.

States must evaluate their HCBS programs to determine the level of compliance with the new rules. The setting indicated on this form had initially been identified as requiring to go through the heightened scrutiny process as part of the compliance process. They were able to demonstrate compliance with the settings criteria by July 1, 2021, so they are not required to go through the entire heightened scrutiny process, but they are required to go out for public comment.

Additional information on Heightened Scrutiny can be found here: HCBS Settings Rule: Heightened Scrutiny

Setting Information

Site Name:	Chrysalis Utah		Site ID:	402	
Site Address:	768 W 1425 N Suite A, Layton, UT				
Website:	https://www.chrysalis.care/				
# of Individuals Served at this location regardless of funding:		17	# of Medicaid Individuals16Served at this location:16		16
Waiver(s) Served:		HCBS Provider Type:			
 Acquired Brain injury Aging Waiver Community Supports Community Transition New Choices Description of Waivers can be found here: https://medicaid.utah.gov/ltc/ 		 Day Support Services Adult Day Care Residential Facility Supported Living Employment Preparation Services 			
Heightened Scrutiny Prong:					
Prong 1: Setting is in a publicly or privately operated facility that provides inpatient institutional treatment					
Prong 2: Setting is in a building on the grounds of, or immediately adjacent to, a public institution					
☑ Prong 3: From the initial assessment, the setting was found to have the effect of isolating individuals from the broader community. The following is the area that was identified:					

Settings that Demonstrated Compliance by July 1, 2021

□ A. Individuals have limited, if any, opportunities for interaction in and with the broader community and /or the setting is physically located separate and apart from the broader community and does not facilitate individual opportunity to access the broader community and participate in community services consistent with their person centered service plan

- □ B. The setting restricts individuals choice to receive services or to engage in activities outside of the setting
- ☑ C. The setting has qualities that are institutional in nature. These can include:
 - The setting has policies and practices which control the behaviors of individuals; are rigid in their schedules; have multiple restrictive practices in place
 - The setting does not ensure an individual's rights of privacy, dignity, and respect

Onsite Visit(s) Conducted: 9/9/19 (in-person)

🗆 Met

Description of Setting:

The setting is a day support program in an area that facilitates integration with the greater community. It is in the same shopping center as restaurants and a movie theater. It is also located close to a mall and other community businesses.

Current Standing of Setting:

 \blacksquare Currently Compliant: the setting has overcome the qualities identified above

□ Approved Remediation Plan: the setting has an approved remediation plan demonstrating how it will come into compliance. The approved timeline for compliance is:

Evidence the Setting is Fully Compliant or Will Be Fully Compliant

Prong 1: The setting is in a publicly or privately operated facility that provides inpatient institutional treatment; the setting overcomes this presumption of an institutional setting.

Compliance:

 \Box Remediation Plan demonstrating will be compliant \square Not Applicable

Prong 2: The setting is in a building on the grounds of, or immediately adjacent to, a public institution; the setting overcomes this presumption of an institutional setting.

Compliance: □ Met □ Remediation Plan demonstrating will be compliant ☑ Not Applicable

Prong 3 A: The setting is integrated in and supports full access of individuals receiving Medicaid HCBS to the greater community, including opportunities to seek employment and work in competitive integrated settings, engage in community life, control personal resources, and receive services in the community, to the same degree of access as individuals not receiving Medicaid HCBS.

Compliance:	☑ Met □ Remediation Plan demonstrating will be compliant	
	Onsite Visit Summary (9/2019):	
Summary:	Individuals are able to access the community 3-4 times a week. There are frequent	
	opportunities for individuals to volunteer and gain skills in their community while giving back at	

Settings that Demonstrated Compliance by July 1, 2021

locations of	heir choice. The setting has a formal process for individuals to explore and pursue		
a competitiv	e integrative employment (CIE), if they choose to. Individuals are able to control		
their spendir	ng money when they are in the community.		
Policy/Docu	ment Review:		
The followin	The following were reviewed for compliance:		
Chry	Chrysalis HCBS Policies		
	5-2 Annual Meetings and Person Centered Supports		
	5-19 Schedules		
	2-2 Direct Care Employee Training Requirements (Utah)		

Prong 3 B: The setting is selected by the individual from among setting options, including non-disability specific settings.

Compliance:	🗹 Met 🛛 Remediation Plan demonstrating will be compliant		
Summary:	 Met Bemediation Plan demonstrating will be compliant Onsite Visit Summary (9/2019): The setting does not restrict access to non-disability settings. The setting has an admission process that assesses individuals' needs and preferences and regularly reassesses to ensure services are provided in a person-centered manner. Policy/Document Review: The following were reviewed for compliance: Person Orientation Packet New Staff Training Checklist 		
	 Person Orientation Form 		

Prong 3 C: The setting optimizes, but does not regiment individual initiative, autonomy, and independence in making life choices. The setting ensures an individual's rights of privacy, dignity, respect, and freedom from coercion and restraint. The setting ensures the individual has the freedom and support to control his/her own schedule and activities.

Compliance:	Met 🛛 Remediation Plan demonstrating will be compliant
Summary:	 Onsite Visit Summary (9/2019): Individuals are able to bring their own lunches or eat out when they desire. They are able to eat both their snacks or lunch when they want. They are not required to participate in any activities they do not want to and staff are trained to offer choices throughout the day. During the onsite visit, there were some concerns identified that the setting is overly restrictive. Restrictions are placed on individuals prior to using less intrusive methods first; individuals must earn things such as "free time." There was also no process in place for individuals to circumvent restrictions that did not apply to them (food restrictions that resulted in a locked refrigerator and microwave). Remediation Plan Summary: The setting submitted a sample of human rights restrictions to meet the requirement of the HCBS

Settings that Demonstrated Compliance by July 1, 2021

settings rule and the setting resubmitted the revised rights restrictions meeting the setting rule		
criteria. Along with the revised restrictions, the provider submitted a plan of correction on how		
to move forward with training their staff and revising their rights restriction process for the		
remainder of their settings to come into HCBS settings rule compliance in this area.		
Rights Restriction Validation Summary:		
The State did a desk review of the resubmitted rights restrictions. The State reviewed the rights		
restrictions to ensure they met the 8 criteria of the settings rule regulations, including individual		
served informed consent. The setting was able to demonstrate compliance for the individuals		
served in the setting.		
Policy/Document Review:		
The following were reviewed for compliance:		
Chrysalis HCBS Policies		
 5-2 Annual Meetings and Person Centered Supports 		
 5-3 Human Rights (Utah) 		
 5-17 Positive Behavioral Supports 		
o 5-19 Schedules		
 2-2 Direct Care Employee Training Requirements (Utah) 		
Person Orientation Packet		
 New Staff Training Checklist 		
 Person Orientation Form 		
• New Employee Orientation of Policy, Procedures, and Chrysalis Culture Competency		
Test		
 Revised Rights Restrictions Documentation for multiple individuals served 		

Overall, the setting enforces the Home and Community-Based Settings Regulation requirements.		
Compliance:	Met	
Summary:	Overall, all segregating and institutional concerns were addressed through the remediation process and the State was able to validate that the areas were remediated through the desk review validation process. Staff are trained on HCBS requirements both upon hire and ongoing. The State will continue to work with the Provider, and its additional settings to ensure its rights restriction process has been implemented across all of its settings. As indicated below, this setting will be reviewed through ongoing monitoring activities.	

Input from Individuals Served and Staff

	Summary of interviews (2019):	
Individuals	Individuals reported they are able to participate in activities that are important to them in the	
Served	community.	
Summary:	Individuals reported they are trained how to use public transportation	
	Individuals report they are given the opportunity to control their spending money.	

Settings that Demonstrated Compliance by July 1, 2021

	Individuals report they can eat when they want to	
	Summary of interviews (2019):	
Staff	Staff reported they have received training on keeping individual's information private.	
Summary:	Staff reported that individuals are never forced to participate in anything they do not want to.	
	Staff reported that they have been trained on the settings rule requirements.	

Ongoing Remediation Activities			
Current Standing	Current Standing: 🗹 Currently Compliant 🛛 Approved Remediation Plan		
Continued Remediation	☑ N/A for currently compliant		
Activities			
Ongoing Monitoring Activities	 The State will use the following tools to ensure settings continue compliance with the Settings Rule criteria: Conducting individual served experience surveys Addressing settings compliance during the annual person centered service planning process Ongoing provider training and certification Monitoring through critical incident reporting Case Management/Support Coordinator visit monitoring HCBS Waiver Reviews/Audits 		

Summary of Stakeholder Workgroup Comments Received and State Response:

Stakeholder Workgroup Review: October 24 to November 28, 2022

No comments received

Summary of Public Comments Received and State Response:

Public Comment Period: October 24 to November 28, 2022

Comment: At each of the sites [Chrysalis sites 395, 399, 402, 816], the State noted that individuals had to "earn things such as free time." This language connotes the provider was utilizing a levels system that applied to all consumers. A provider utilizing a levels system cannot be compliant with the settings rule as the regulations require individuals to have the maximum freedoms possible. The State has not conducted follow up site visits since 2019 and only conducted a desk review of rights restrictions as part of its remediation process. To ensure individuals are not subjected to broad, programmatic restrictions the State should conduct another site visit and speak with individuals about their experience in the setting before a determination is made that the setting has overcome the institutional presumption. We would urge the state to complete an in person assessment to ensure compliance with the rule.

Response:

Settings that Demonstrated Compliance by July 1, 2021

The State determined final compliance through the desk review process. The desk review determined Chrysalis was using a person-centered approach for these specific settings in regards to rights restrictions and met the settings requirements. The State will continue to monitor their status through the ongoing monitoring process.

Summary of Stakeholder Workgroup Recommendation:

Stakeholder Workgroup Review: October 24 to November 28, 2022

The Stakeholder Workgroup recommended that the heightened scrutiny packet is ready to be submitted to CMS (100% of those that responded).

Utah's Recommendation

Date of Recommendation: 1/12/23

The State has determined the setting has overcome the effect of isolating individuals from the broader community and is in compliance with the HCBS Settings Rule.